

### Making the Case for Active School Travel: Reference List

Updated Fall 2017

Please note that some of the sources listed are compilations of other research, and are not necessarily the original source of the data.

#### **An Urgent Problem**

Fewer children and youth are walking and wheeling for the school journey than in the past, and more are using inactive modes of transportation, particularly getting a drive in a private vehicle.

- Only 25% of Canadian parents say their kids, aged 5 to 17, typically walk or wheel to and from school, while 58% are typically driven. 17% use a combination of active and inactive modes of transportation to/from school (Canadian Fitness and Lifestyle Research Institute, 2013)
- Only 26% of 11- to 15-year-olds in Canada report using active modes of transportation (24% walking, 2% biking) on the main part of their trip to school (ParticipACTION, 2016)
- Since 1986, there have been shifts away from active transportation for the school journey in the Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area (males & females, 11-17 years old) (Metrolinx, 2015)
  - TO SCHOOL
    - \*Walking: 44.1% in 1986 vs 32.5% in 2011
    - \*Cycling: 2.4% in 1986 vs 1.1% in 2011
    - \*Driving in a Private Vehicle: 14.1% in 1986 vs 33.3% in 2011
  - FROM SCHOOL
    - \*Walking: 47.6% in 1986 vs 40.4% in 2011
    - \*Cycling: 2.4% in 1986 vs 1.2% in 2011
    - \*Driving in a Private Vehicle: 9.5% in 1986 vs 21.1% in 2011
- A recent national evaluation of physical activity gave Canadian children and youth a grade of "D" in Active Transportation (ParticipACTION, 2016)
- Eligible bussing students are often leaving their seats empty and getting a ride in a private vehicle (Metrolinx, 2011)

## Canadian children don't get enough physical activity, leading to negative health consequences.

- **Only 9%** of Canadian children and youth, aged 5-17, **get the recommended 60 minutes of daily physical activity** (ParticipACTION, 2016)
- A recent national evaluation of physical activity gave Canadian children and youth a grade of "D-" in Overall Physical Activity (ParticipACTION, 2016)
- Data from 15 countries show that **children overall are not accumulating enough physical activity** to improve their health (Tremblay, et al., 2014)
- Insufficient physical activity is linked to adverse health effects such as weight gain and injury, as well as chronic diseases including obesity, cancer, type II diabetes, and stroke. It is also linked to poor mental health (Tremblay & Willms, 2003; Janssen & Leblanc, 2010; Warburton, Nicol, & Bredin, 2006)

Increased car use raises pollution levels around schools. Poor air quality has a negative impact on children's health and cognitive development.

- Use of private vehicles for school drop-off significantly increases air pollution around schools, regardless of background conditions. Overall, increased vehicle traffic during school drop-off times increases congestion and the risk of negative health outcomes from air pollution emissions by increasing the ambient concentrations at the school site (Adams & Requia, 2017)
- More than one-third of Ontario's greenhouse gas pollution comes from transportation and vehicle emissions have been rising steadily (Ontario Ministry of Environment and Climate Change, 2015)
- Studies have identified that children suffer many negative health effects from air pollution exposure, which include respiratory health issues and allergic reactions (Kelishadi & Poursafa, 2014)
- Air pollution exposure can reduce the cognitive development of students (Annavarapua & Kathi, 2016) which can have life-long socio-economic outcomes as low performance at school can lead to poorer well-being later in life (Ross & Van Willigen, 1997)
- Children experience the negative impacts of air pollution at lower concentrations compared to adults (ParticipACTION, 2016)
  - Studies find that children have enhanced vulnerability to ambient stressors compared to adults, particularly heat and air pollution (Vanos, 2014)
  - Children's increased susceptibility occurs because they are likely to be active, breathe more air per kilogram of body mass, and their bodies are still in development (Buonanno, Stabile, & Morawska, 2013)
- The heart, brain, hormone systems and immunity can all be harmed by air pollution; research is now beginning to point towards effects on growth, intelligence, and development of the brain and coordination (Royal College of Physicians and Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health (UK), 2016)

#### Increased car use makes school zones congested and less safe.

- Congestion and unsafe driver behaviours are common around schools during bell times. A recent study in Toronto observed dangerous driver behaviours at 88% of participating schools (Rothman, Howard, Buliung, Macathur, & Macpherson, 2016)
  - In this study, each dangerous driving behaviour during school dropoff period was associated with 45% times greater risk of collision (Rothman, Howard, Buliung, Macathur, & Macpherson, 2016)

#### Traffic is a growing burden on school staff.

 Ontario's school principals collectively spend an estimated 720,000 hours a year coping with traffic problems around their schools (Green Communities Canada, 2010)

#### **Healthier Children**

- Active school travel helps to meet the goal for school-aged children of at least 60 minutes per day of moderate to vigorous physical activity, which is linked with lower body mass index and improved cardiovascular health (ParticipACTION, 2016)
  - Compared to those who are driven to school, active travelers are more physically active over the course of the entire day, getting as much as 45 additional minutes of moderate to vigorous physical activity per day (ParticipACTION, 2016)
  - The increased physical activity specifically associated with the school journey has been found to lower body mass index (BMI) over time (Mendoza & Liu, 2014) and improve cardiovascular health (Larouche, Saunders, Faulkner, Colley, & Tremblay, 2014)
- Active school travel is associated with mental health benefits including reduced stress, depression, and anxiety, and increased happiness (Lambiase, Barry, & Roemmich, 2010; Ramanathan, O'Brien, Faulkner, & Stone, 2014; lancovich, 2015)
  - Children and parents who walk or bike to/from school report also more positive emotions during the school trip than those who travel by car (ParticipACTION, 2016)
- Active school travel also helps to meet Ontario Ministry of Education goals for student well-being and helps to build life-long habits of independent and active mobility (Ontario Ministry of Education, 2016; Ontario Ministry of Education, 2014)

#### Less Traffic and Pollution

- Reducing the number of children being driven to school reduces greenhouse gas emissions and particulate air pollution around the school; this improves air quality and reduces associated risks of lung and cardiovascular diseases (ParticipACTION, 2016; Royal College of Physicians and Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health (UK), 2016)
- Increased active school travel also supports Ontario's greenhouse gas reduction target of 80% by 2050 by reducing vehicle emissions (Ontario Ministry of Environment and Climate Change, 2015)

#### Safer School Zones, Healthier Communities

- Reducing traffic volumes creates safer school zones. Improving walking and cycling routes to school also enhances the safety, connectivity, and quality of life for the community as a whole (Hall, 2013)
  - Improving conditions for walking and biking can have a positive impact on local economies and equity (Litman, 2004) and can attract customers and new businesses to an area, making neighbourhoods more desirable places to live (Drennen, 2003)

#### **Better Academic Performance**

- The increased physical activity specifically associated with the school journey has been found to increase alertness and attention during the school day (Lambiase, Barry, & Roemmich, 2010; Martinez-Gomez, Chillón, Rey-López, Díaz, & Marcos, 2011)
- Active travelers, especially girls, may have higher grades in school (ParticipACTION, 2016)
- **Physical activity supports healthy brain development**, which can lead to improved learning and academic outcomes
  - Research has shown that children who are more physically active for as little as 20 minutes daily have more active brains and improved attention in the classroom (Hillman, Pontifex, Raine, Castelli, Hall, & Kramer, 2009) and better standardized test scores (Donnelly & Lambourne, 2011)

#### Solutions that Work

Research on community-level interventions has found that the most successful interventions for increasing active school travel share these attributes.

1) Successful interventions address multiple factors, including both noninfrastructure and infrastructure measures, using the 5Es approach (i.e. education, encouragement, engineering, enforcement and evaluation).

- Both non-infrastructure (e.g., education initiatives, enforcement of rules) and infrastructure measures (e.g., sidewalk/bike-rack implementation) are needed to increase active school travel over the long-term (Chillón, Evenson, Vaughn, & Ward, 2011; Mammen, 2016)
  - Small effect sizes of some active school travel interventions can be attributed to 'one-off' educational or encouragement initiatives without consideration of broader environmental factors (Chillón, Evenson, Vaughn, & Ward, 2011; Mammen, 2016)
  - In an international review of active school travel interventions, one common characteristic of most of the successful interventions was applying a comprehensive ecological approach in implementation. The federally funded Safe Routes to School initiative in the US is a prime example of such an intervention (Mammen, 2016)

#### Examples of Non-Infrastructure Measures that Work

School crossing	As demonstrated by research in Toronto out of York University, Sick Kids	
guards can	Hospital and the University of Toronto (York University, Sick Kids Hospital,	
impact walking	University of Toronto, n.d.):	
rates as well as	<ul> <li>Presence of school crossing guards was related to 14% more walking</li> </ul>	
safety.	Most collisions that occurred during school travel times occurred in	
	locations without crossing guards (86%)	
	<ul> <li>Poor driving behaviours are observed less at schools with school</li> </ul>	
	crossing guards	

	<ul> <li>Presence of school crossing guards was positively correlated with walking to school, and school crossing guards may even override the influence of other roadway features on walking (Rothman, To, Buliung, Macarthur, &amp; Howard, 2014)</li> </ul>	
Walking school	Walking school buses- where children walk in groups supervised by an adult-	
buses can	can increase active transportation and physical activity. However, their	
improve rates	sustainability is often compromised by the reliance on parent volunteers	
of walking to	(ParticipACTION, 2016)	
school.		
	A study of household attitudes in the Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area	
	showed that parents most preferred having their child walk to school as part	
	of an organized group (Metrolinx, 2011)	
Monitoring air	A recent research study demonstrated that when researchers brought air	
quality	quality monitoring equipment to schools, parents' behaviour drastically	
improves	changed; for example, parents would park further away from the school and	
parents'	walk their students to the school (Adams & Requia, 2017)	
behaviour		
around school		
zones.		

#### **Examples of Infrastructure Measures that Work**

Many neighbourhoods are not built for active transportation. The built environment – including car-centred street design, and poor or missing walking and cycling infrastructure – can create real barriers that prevent children from walking or biking to school. It is important not to encourage active transportation without first ensuring the routes are safe for pedestrians and cyclists.

Creating walk-	The spatial distance between the home and school environments, street		
and bike-	density and connectivity, degree of pedestrian infrastructure, and		
friendly	environmental aesthetics have been shown to influence active school travel		
environments	(Mammen, 2016)		
encourages			
active	Pedestrian crossovers, traffic lights and intersection densities are positively		
transportation	correlated with walking (Rothman, To, Buliung, Macarthur, & Howard, 2014)		
and makes it			
safer.	Pedestrian safety during the trip to school is related primarily to the built		
	environment and specifically features related to road crossing (Rothman,		
	Macarthur, To, Buliung, & Howard, 2014)		
Traffic calming	Traffic-calming measures, such as speed humps and narrower intersections,		
measures can	can reduce vehicle speed and injury risk, and may facilitate active		
improve safety	transportation (ParticipACTION, 2016; Lindenmann, 2005)		
and may			
encourage	The installation of speed humps was associated with a 45% decrease in		
active	collision rates in children (York University, Sick Kids Hospital, University of		
transportation.	Toronto, n.d.) Speed humps have a significant protective effect on pedestrian-		
	motor vehicle collisions on the roadways where they are installed, particularly		
	for children (Rothman, et al., 2015)		
	An analysis reviewing 33 studies found that area-wide traffic calming reduced		

	the number of injury accidents by 15%. Residential areas saw an average reduction in the number of injuries by about 25% (Elvik. 2001)				
Lower speed limits improve safety.	<ul> <li>Higher speeds increase the severity of crashes. In particular, pedestrian and cyclist fatalities increase as vehicle speed goes up (Toronto Centre for Active Transportation, 2016)</li> <li>A UK study found that the introduction of 20 mph zones in London was</li> </ul>				
	associated with a 41.9% reduction in road casualties. The percentage reduction was greatest in younger children and greater for the category of killed or seriously injured casualties than for minor injuries (Grundy, 2009)				
	education. A comprehensive approach that includes local police services and other community groups is a good idea when making speed limit changes (Toronto Centre for Active Transportation, 2016)				
Improving intersections and major crossings can improve pedestrian and cyclist safety.	The majority of collisions between motor vehicles and pedestrians or cyclists occur on high speed arterial streets and at intersections. Improving the safety of pedestrian crossings can help to reduce vehicle speeds, separate pedestrians and vehicles, and increase pedestrian visibility. On wider roads with heavier traffic flows or on streets where low speed limits are not feasible, the focus should be on designing safe crossings (Toronto Centre for Active Transportation, 2016)				
	Crossings with raised medians and pedestrian-controlled flashing or solid lights that signal drivers to stop have been shown to reduce crashes involving pedestrians by 69% (Arason, 2014; Van Houten, La Plante, & Gustafson, 2012). Even at non-signalized intersections, a raised median with a marked crosswalk can reduce collisions between vehicles and pedestrians by 46-56% (Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), 2010) • Without these measures, studies have found no significant difference in safety between unmarked and marked crossing sites (Toronto Centre for Active Transportation, 2016)				
	Installing roundabouts in place of conventional intersections, including both traffic lights and stop signs, is a very effective speed control measure, and can reduce collisions with pedestrians by 75% (Retting, Ferguson, & McCartt, 2003)				
Cycling infrastructure can prevent injuries and encourage more people to bike.	<ul> <li>Evidence demonstrates that purpose-built cycling infrastructure can both prevent injuries and encourage more people to bike (ParticipACTION, 2016)</li> <li>Purpose-built, bicycle-specific facilities reduce crashes and injuries among cyclists, providing the basis for initial transportation engineering guidelines for cyclist safety. Street lighting, paved surfaces, and low-angled grades are additional factors that appear to improve cyclist safety (Reynolds, Harris, Teschke, Cripton, &amp; Winters, 2009)</li> <li>A study of different types of cycling route infrastructure demonstrated that cycle tracks- which are bike lanes on roads that are physically separated from the road by barriers- had the lowest risk, about one-ninth the risk of major streets with parked cars and no bike infrastructure. Risks on major streets were lower without parked cars and with bike lanes (Teschke, et al., 2012)</li> </ul>				

• In a study of 43 large cities across the	United States, it was found that
those with higher levels of bicycle infra	astructure (lanes and paths)
witnessed higher levels of bicycle com	muting (ParticipACTION, 2016)
<ul> <li>In a study of cyclists' route preference</li> </ul>	s, most respondents were likely
or very likely to choose to cycle on the	e following broad route
categories: off-street paths (71%–85%	of respondents); physically
separated routes next to major roads	(71%); and residential routes
(48%–65%). Rural roads (21%–49%) ar	nd routes on major streets
(16%–52%) were least likely to be cho	sen (Winters & Teshke, 2010)

For a useful guide to infrastructure measures, read <u>The Guide to Safer Streets Near</u> <u>Schools.</u>

#### 2) Successful interventions are tailored to the needs of each school.

- Evidence from a comprehensive review of active school travel interventions suggests that interventions that are specific to a school's specific travel barriers are more effective than those delivering more generic strategies (Mammen, 2016)
- The interventions showing no changes in active school travel focused mainly on broader strategies that were not explicitly school-specific, such as walking school bus schemes, cycling training sessions, active school travel classroom lessons and inter-school competitions (Mammen, 2016)

# 3) Successful interventions have involvement of the school community, including parents, students, school staff and other members of the broader community.

- Evidence from comprehensive reviews of active school travel interventions demonstrates that a having a full range of stakeholder involvement improves results (Chillón, Evenson, Vaughn, & Ward, 2011; Mammen, 2016)
- The quality of parent, school, and community involvement, as well as interaction among these groups, may be among the more influential components of active school travel interventions (Chillón, Evenson, Vaughn, & Ward, 2011)
- When designing appropriate interventions that increase walking and cycling while ensuring safety, an interdisciplinary approach including city planners, community organizations and health and planning experts is essential (Rothman, Buliung, Macarthur, To, & Howard, 2014)
- School enthusiasm and support is critical, and implementing a program is easier when the school culture is open, accepting, and enthusiastic about active school travel. Generating a healthy school culture can be achieved via school champions (e.g., school staff, students, parents) who lead the encouragement and promotion of active school travel (Mammen, 2016)
- Research demonstrates that student involvement is a powerful interventional tool in eliciting norm, attitude and actual behaviour change (Valente, Hoffman, Ritt-Olson, Lichtman, & Johnson, 2003). Children also have unique perspectives and their needs and preferences are important in effectively tailoring programs (Evans, Bright, & Brown, 2013; Holloway & Valentine, 2000)

 Interventions including a parent engagement component have been shown to increase the likelihood of their children meeting physical activity guidelines (Haerens, De Bourdeaudhuij, Maes, Cardon, & Deforche, 2007; Ornelas, Perreira, & Ayala, 2007) by modeling and supporting physical activity behaviours and healthy environments for their children (Michael, Dittus, & Epstein, 2007)

#### 4) Successful interventions keep up efforts over the long-term.

 It is recommended that action plans addressing active school travel be given two to three years to be implemented and ingrained into the school culture (Mammen, 2016)

#### School Travel Planning (STP) addresses all of these success factors and is a proven cost-effective intervention to get more kids walking and wheeling for the school journey.

- Large-scale studies show that school travel plans lead to an increase in active transportation (ParticipACTION, 2016)
  - A pilot of STP in four Canadian provinces showed a 2% child-reported increase in active school travel, while 13% of families reported less driving as a result of STP following one year of implementation (Buliung, Faulkner, Beesley, & Kennedy, 2011)
  - In a Canada-wide evaluation of STP conducted over one year, there was evidence of localized success at nearly half of the participating schools (Mammen, 2016)
- School Travel Planning offers a positive benefit to cost ratio. A study examining STP's cost-effectiveness in 13 Ontario elementary schools demonstrated an overall cost-benefit ratio of 2.4. When projected for a hypothetical 3- and 5-year STP implementation period by using year one data, the benefit-cost ratios were 4.5 and 6.3, respectively (Green Communities Canada, 2016)

#### A study of <u>international best practices in active school travel</u> by Ryerson University identified these additional success factors:

- o Accountability to a strategic vision and objectives
- o Government policy commitments
- A broad multi-disciplinary stakeholder group
- o Initiatives that are engaging and collect data for evaluation
- A centralized web-based resource hub
- o Addressing liability concerns (Flanagan & Mitra, 2016)

#### **Policy Interventions**

Across studies, **distance is consistently cited as the factor most strongly associated with travel mode to school** (ParticipACTION, 2016; Mammen, 2016). The decisions that school boards make about school catchment areas, school closures, academic programs and transportation services can lead to more students travelling further to school and being driven.  As Mammen (2016) highlights in his examination of STP, "this highlights the importance in ensuring that newer schools are not constructed in remote areas where land is cheaper, but rather where the routes to school have high street connectivity and carry low traffic volumes. This is a pertinent and timely issue given that, in Canada (and internationally), economic constraints have led to several 'neighbourhood' school closures and increased enrolments in 'centralized' schools, resulting in greater catchments areas and decreased proximity of schools to residential areas." (Mammen, 2016, p. 24)

#### Addressing liability concerns

Some have expressed concern that ASRTS initiatives could expose them to legal liability. However, experience indicates that this need not be a barrier to encouraging active school travel. In fact, our guidance note on liability concludes that school boards, municipalities and parents can actually reduce their liability exposure by taking proactive steps to reduce traffic dangers and improve school zone safety through active and safe routes to school (Wyseman, 2010).

#### Read more:

<u>School Travel Planning in Action in Ontario: Successes and lessons in active and</u> <u>sustainable school transportation</u> (Metrolinx, 2013)

 This report profiles successful STP programs from schools across Ontario. It summarizes success factors for STP that were common across multiple types of communities, and provides a case study of each community's STP activities and approaches.

<u>School Travel Planning in Canada: A Holistic Examination of Program Impact on</u> <u>Active School Travel</u> (Mammen, 2016)

• This doctoral thesis completed at the University of Toronto offers a comprehensive look at the factors influencing active school travel, the effectiveness of STP as an intervention to promote active school travel, and suggestions for best practices.

<u>2016 ParticipACTION Report Card on Physical Activity for Children and Youth</u> (ParticipACTION, 2016)

• This annual report synthesizes data from multiple sources, including peerreviewed research, to assign evidence-informed grades across 12 indicators. This report includes new Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth: an integration of Physical Activity, Sedentary Behaviour, and Sleep.

#### Project BEAT (Built Environment and Active Transport) research

• Project BEAT was a multi-year research study conducted at the University of Toronto. It explored how neighbourhood type and gender relate to active school travel, trends in active school travel, barriers and facilitators to active school travel, and how active school travel relates to overall physical activity.

#### **Works Cited**

- Adams, M. D., & Requia, W. J. (2017). How private vehicle use increases ambient air pollution concentrations at schools during the morning drop-off of children. *Atmospheric Environment*, 165, 264-273.
- Annavarapua, R. N., & Kathi, S. (2016). Cognitive disorders in children associated with urban vehicular emissions. *Environmental Pollution, 208*(Part A), 74-78.
- Arason, N. (2014). *No Accident: Eliminating Injury and Death on Canadian Roads.* Wilfrid Laurier University Press.
- Buliung, R., Faulkner, G., Beesley, T., & Kennedy, J. (2011). School travel planning: mobilizing school and community resources to encourage active school transportation. *Journal of School Health*, 81(11), 704-712.
- Buonanno, G., Stabile, L., & Morawska, L. (2013). Personal exposure to ultrafine particles: the influence of time-activity patterns. *Science of The Total Environment, 468-469*, 903-907.
- Canadian Fitness and Lifestyle Research Institute. (2013). *Bulletin 10: Transportation among children and youth.*
- Chillón, P., Evenson, K. R., Vaughn, A., & Ward, D. S. (2011). A systematic review of interventions for promoting active transportation to school. *International Journal of Behavioural Nutrition and Physical Activity, 8*(1), 10.
- Donnelly, J. E., & Lambourne, K. (2011). Classroom-based physical activity, cognition, and academic achievement. *Preventive Medicine*, *52*(Suppl 1), S36-S42.
- Drennen, E. (2003). *Economic effects of traffic calming on urban small businesses.* Department of Public Administration, San Francisco State University.
- Elvik, R. (2001). Area-wide urban traffic calming schemes: a meta-analysis of safety effects. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 33(3), 327-336.
- Evans, A. B., Bright, J. L., & Brown, L. J. (2013). Non-disabled secondary school children's lived experiences of a wheelchair basketball programme delivered in the East of England. Sport, Education and Society, 1-21.
- Flanagan, C., & Mitra, R. (2016). *International Best Practices in Regional Planning for School Travel.* Toronto: Ryerson University and Metrolinx.
- Green Communities Canada. (2010). Saving Time and Money with Active School Travel.
- Green Communities Canada. (2016). School Travel Planning Benefit-Cost Report for Toronto and Wellington-Dufferin-Guelph. Toronto.
- Grundy, C. (2009). Effect of 20 mph traffic speed zones on road injuries in London, 1986-2006: controlled interrupted time series analysis. *BMJ*, b4469.
- Haerens, L., De Bourdeaudhuij, I., Maes, L., Cardon, G., & Deforche, B. (2007). School-based randomized controlled trial of a physical activity intervention among adolescents. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, *40*(3), 258-265.
- Hall, J. (2013). Kid-friendly cities: the importance of walking to school.
- Hillman, C. H., Pontifex, M. B., Raine, L. B., Castelli, D. M., Hall, E. E., & Kramer, A. F. (2009).
   The effect of acute treadmill walking on cognitive control and academic achievement in preadolescent children. *Neuroscience*, *159*(3), 1044-1054.
- Holloway, S. L., & Valentine, G. (2000). Children's geographies: Playing, living, learning. *Psychology Press*, *8*, 1-26.
- Iancovich, V. (2015). Why walking to school is better than driving for your kids.
- Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). (2010). *Designing walkable urban thoroughfares: A context sensitive approach.*
- Janssen, I., & Leblanc, A. G. (2010). Systematic review of the health benefits of physical activity and fitness in school-aged children and youth. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 7*(40), 1-16.

- Kelishadi, R., & Poursafa, P. (2014). The Effects of Climate Change and Air Pollution on Children and Mothers' Health. In K. E. Pinkerton, & W. N. Romeds, *Global Climate Change and Public Health* (pp. 273-277). New York: Springer.
- Lambiase, M. J., Barry, H. M., & Roemmich, J. N. (2010). Effect of a simulated active commute to school on cardiovascular stress reactivity. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, *42*(8), 1609.
- Larouche, R. (2012). The Environmental and Population Health Benefits of Active Transport: A Review. In G. Lie, *Greenhouse Gases: Emission, Measurement and Management* (pp. 313-340). Rijeka, Croatia: In Tech.
- Larouche, R., Saunders, T. J., Faulkner, G. E., Colley, R. C., & Tremblay, M. S. (2014). Associations between active school transport and physical activity, body composition and cardiovascular fitness: a systematic review of 68 studies. *Journal* of Physical Activity and Health, 11(1), 206-227.
- Lindenmann, H. P. (2005). The effects on road safety of 30 kilometer-per-hour zone sign posting in residential districts. *Institute of Transportation Engineers ITE Journal*, 75(6), 50-54.
- Litman, T. (2004). Economic Value of Walkability. *World Transport Policy and Practice*, 10(1).
- Mammen, G. (2016). School Travel Planning in Canada: A Holistic Examination of Program Impact on Active School Travel. Toronto: University of Toronto.
- Martinez-Gomez, D. R.-M., Chillón, P., Rey-López, J. P., Díaz, L. E., & Marcos, A. (2011). Active commuting to school and cognitive performance in adolescents: the AVENA study. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine*, *165*(4), 300-305.
- Mendoza, J. A., & Liu, Y. (2014). Active commuting to elementary school and adiposity: an observational study. *Childhood Obesity*, 10(1), 34-41.
- Metrolinx. (2011). Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area School Travel Household Attitudinal Study Report, Fall 2011.
- Metrolinx. (2013). School Travel Planning in Action in Ontario: Successes and Lessons in Active and Sustainable School Transportation. Toronto.
- Metrolinx. (2015). School Travel in the GTHA: A Report on Trends.
- Michael, S., Dittus, P., & Epstein, J. (2007). Family and community involvement in schools: results from the School Health Policies and Programs Study 2006. *Journal of School Health, 77*(8), 567-587.
- Ontario Ministry of Education. (2014). Achieving Excellence: A Renewed Vision for Education in Ontario.
- Ontario Ministry of Education. (2016). *Ontario's Well-being strategy for education:* Discussion Document.
- Ontario Ministry of Environment and Climate Change. (2015). Ontario's Climate Change Strategy.
- Ornelas, I. J., Perreira, K. M., & Ayala, G. X. (2007). Parental influences on adolescent physical activity: a longitudinal study. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 4(1), 3.
- ParticipACTION. (2016). 2016 ParticipACTION Report Card on Physical Activity for Children and Youth.
- Ramanathan, S., O'Brien, C., Faulkner, G., & Stone, M. (2014). Happiness in Motion: Emotions, Well-Being, and Active School Travel. *Journal of School Health*, *84*, 516-523.
- Retting, R. A., Ferguson, S. A., & McCartt, A. T. (2003). A review of evidence-based traffic engineering measures to reduce pedestrian-motor vehicle crashes. *American Journal of Public Health, 93*(9), 1456-1463.

- Reynolds, C. C., Harris, M. A., Teschke, K., Cripton, P. A., & Winters, M. (2009). The impact of transportation insfrastructure on bicycling injuries and crashes: A review of the literature. *Environmental Health, 8*, 47.
- Ross, C. E., & Van Willigen, M. (1997). Education and the Subjective Quality of Life. *Journal* of Health and Social Behavior, 38(3), 275-297.
- Rothman, L., Buliung, R., Macarthur, C., To, T., & Howard, A. (2014). Walking and child pedestrian injury: a systematic review of built environment correlates of safe walking. *Injury Prevention, 20*, 41-49.
- Rothman, L., Howard, A., Buliung, R., Macathur, C., & Macpherson, A. (2016). Dangerous student car drop-off behaviors and child pedestrian-motor vehicle collisions: An observational study. *Traffic Injury Prevention*, *17*(5), 454-459.
- Rothman, L., Macarthur, C., To, T., Buliung, R., & Howard, A. (2014). Motor vehiclepedestrian collisions and walking to school: the role of the built environment. *Pediatrics*, 133(5), 776-784.
- Rothman, L., Macpherson, A., Buliung, R., To, T., Macarthur, C., Larsen, K., et al. (2015). Installation of speed humps and pedestrian-motor vehicle collisions in Toronto, Canada: a quasi-experimental study. *BMC Public Health*, *15*, 774.
- Rothman, L., To, T., Buliung, R., Macarthur, C., & Howard, A. (2014). Influence of social and built environment features on children's walking to school: An observational study. *Preventive Medicine*, 60, 10-15.
- Royal College of Physicians and Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health (UK). (2016). Every breath we take: the lifelong impact of air pollution.
- Teschke, K., Harris, M. A., Reynolds, C. C., Winters, M., Babul, S., Chipman, M., et al. (2012). Route infrastructure and the risk of injury to bicyclists: a case-crossover study. *American Journal of Public Health, 102*, 2336-2343.
- Toronto Centre for Active Transportation. (2016). *Guide to Safer Streets Near Schools: Understanding your policy options in the City of Toronto.* Toronto.
- Tremblay, M. S., & Willms, J. D. (2003). Is the Canadian childhood obesity epidemic related to physical inactivity? *International Journal of Obesity*, *27*(9), 1100-1105.
- Tremblay, M. S., Gray, C. E., Akinroye, K. K., Harrington, D. M., Katzmarzyk, P. T., Lambert, E.
   V., et al. (2014). Physical activity of children: a global matrix of grades comparing 15 countries. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health*, *11*(Supp 1), 113-125.
- Valente, T., Hoffman, B., Ritt-Olson, A., Lichtman, K., & Johnson, C. (2003). Effects of a social network method for group assignment strategies on peer-led tobacco prevention programs in schools. *American Journal of Public Health*, *93*(11), 1837-1843.
- Van Houten, R., La Plante, L., & Gustafson, T. (2012). *Evaluating pedestrian safety improvements: Final report*. Michigan Department of Transportation.
- Vanos, J. K. (2014). Children's health and vulnerability in outdoor microclimates: a comprehensive review. *Environment International, 76*, 1-15.
- Warburton, D. E., Nicol, C. W., & Bredin, S. S. (2006). Health benefits of physical activity: the evidence. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 174(6), 801-809.
- Winters, M., & Teshke, K. (2010). Route preferences among adults in the near market for cycling: Findings from the Cycling in Cities Study. *American Journal of Health Promotion, 25*, 40-47.
- Wyseman, D. (2010). Risk Management and Active School Travel Fact Sheet. Green Communities Canada.
- York University, Sick Kids Hospital, University of Toronto. (n.d.). School Traffic Safety in the City of Toronto. Toronto.